## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

LUDMILA KAPLAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL BANK, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-03792-BLF

ORDER DEFERRING RULING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Defendant's motion to strike Plaintiff's complaint under California's anti-SLAPP statute was heard on February 4, 2016. The Court has considered the parties' briefing and oral argument presented at the hearing. For the reasons stated on the record and below, the Court DEFERS ruling on Defendant's motion to strike.

At the hearing, the Court discussed its concerns and provided its thoughts about the pending motion and this case with the parties. However, the Court cannot yet rule on Defendant's anti-SLAPP motion. While in California state court, parties faced with an anti-SLAPP motion are not given an opportunity to amend their complaint, the procedural rules are different in federal court. The Ninth Circuit has held that "granting a defendant's anti-SLAPP Motion to Strike a Plaintiff's initial complaint without granting the plaintiff leave to amend would directly collide with Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)'s policy favoring liberal amendments." See Verizon Del. Inc. v. Covad Communs. Co., 377 F.3d 1081, 1091 (9th Cir. 2004). At the hearing and in her opposition papers, Plaintiff indicated that she would like the opportunity to amend her complaint.

Accordingly, the Court DEFERS ruling on Defendant's anti-SLAPP motion. Plaintiff shall

## Case 5:15-cv-03792-BLF Document 32 Filed 02/04/16 Page 2 of 2

	1
NOTHIEFIII DISUICI OI CAIHOITIIA	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

26

27

28

United States District Court

file an amended complaint on or before February 18, 2016. If an amended complaint is filed, the
Court will MOOT the pending anti-SLAPP motion. If no amended complaint is filed, the Court
will rule on the motion. Plaintiff may not add new claims in the amended complaint without
seeking leave of court.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 4, 2016

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge